

A PROPERTY OF (v, k, λ) -DESIGNS

BY
O. MARRERO

ABSTRACT

It is shown that if the subsets X_1, \dots, X_v of a set X form a (v, k, λ) -design, then there does not exist another subset X_{v+1} of X having any cardinality k_1 and intersecting each of the X_j , $1 \leq j \leq v$, in any number λ_1 of elements, where $0 < k_1 < v$ and $0 < \lambda_1 < k$ (in order to avoid uninteresting cases).

Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_v\}$ and let X_1, \dots, X_v be subsets of X . The subsets X_1, \dots, X_v are said to form a (v, k, λ) -design if

each X_j , $1 \leq j \leq v$, has k elements, any two distinct X_i, X_j , $1 \leq i, j \leq v$, intersect in λ elements, and $0 \leq \lambda < k < v - 1$.

Such a design is completely determined by its *incidence matrix*; this is the $(0, 1)$ -matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ defined by taking $a_{ij} = 1$ if $x_j \in X_i$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ if $x_j \notin X_i$. More information about these combinatorial designs is available, for example, in [1] and [2].

It is well known that if the subsets X_1, \dots, X_v of a set X form a (v, k, λ) -design, then it is not possible to find another subset X_{v+1} of X so that X_{v+1} has k elements and X_{v+1} intersects each X_j , $1 \leq j \leq v$, in λ elements. (This is a consequence, for example, of the well known "Fisher's inequality" for balanced incomplete block designs.) The purpose of this note is to prove the following more general result.

THEOREM. *Suppose the subsets X_1, \dots, X_v of a set X form a (v, k, λ) -design. Then there does not exist another subset X_{v+1} of X so that X_{v+1} has k_1 elements and X_{v+1} intersects each X_j , $1 \leq j \leq v$, in λ_1 elements, where $0 < k_1 < v$ and $0 < \lambda_1 < k$.*

It is known that no such subset X_{v+1} , as in the statement of the above theorem, can exist if one still allows X_{v+1} to have any cardinality k_1 , $0 < \lambda < k_1 < v$, but insists on requiring that X_{v+1} have λ elements in common with each X_j , $1 \leq j \leq v$; see, for example, Theorem 1.1 in [3].

The proof of the above theorem will be accomplished by showing that the corresponding incidence matrix cannot exist. Let A be the incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ) -design. For notation, $\sigma \circ \eta$ will denote the usual inner product of two vectors σ and η . It will be shown that it is not possible to find a v dimensional $(0, 1)$ -vector σ satisfying $0 < \sigma \circ \sigma = k_1 < v$ and $k > \sigma \circ \eta_r = \lambda_1 > 0$, for $r = 1, \dots, v$, where η_r is the r th row vector of A .

Suppose it is possible to find such a vector σ , and consider $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_v, \sigma$ as v dimensional vectors over the field of real numbers. There exist real numbers a_1, \dots, a_v , not all 0, such that $\sigma = \sum_{s=1}^v a_s \eta_s$. Since each column of A has exactly k ones,

$$\sigma = \sum_{s=1}^v a_s \eta_s = (a_1^{(1)} + \dots + a_k^{(1)}, \dots, a_1^{(v)} + \dots + a_k^{(v)}),$$

where $\{a_1^{(r)}, \dots, a_k^{(r)}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{a_1, \dots, a_v\}$ for $r = 1, \dots, v$. Because $\sigma \circ \eta_r = \lambda_1$ for $1 \leq r \leq v$,

$$\sum_{r=1}^v \sum_{s=1}^k a_s^{(r)} = k_1 = \sigma \circ \sigma = \lambda_1 \sum_{s=1}^v a_s.$$

Thus, $k_1 \neq 0$ implies $\sum_{s=1}^v a_s \neq 0$. But, since each row of A has exactly k ones, it also must be true that

$$\sum_{r=1}^v \sum_{s=1}^k a_s^{(r)} = k \sum_{s=1}^v a_s.$$

Consequently, $k = \lambda_1$, which is contrary to the hypothesis.

REFERENCES

1. M. Hall, Jr., *Combinatorial Theory*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1967.
2. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1963.
3. H. J. Ryser, An extension of a theorem of de Bruijn and Erdős on combinatorial designs, *J. Algebra* **10** (1968), 246-261.

FRANCIS MARION COLLEGE
FLORENCE, SOUTH CAROLINA